

1768 **VIII. CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF NEW AND EXCULPATORY**
1769 **EVIDENCE (EXHIBITS K-B-1 ONWARD)**

1770 For the Court's convenience, the following schedule provides a consolidated and
1771 chronologically organised summary of the additional evidence submitted herewith. This
1772 evidence has been re-numbered for clarity and consistency.

1773 Standard K identifier is used, as this is the second to use standard Court K identifier, K-B
1774 is used.

#	Date	Type / Brief, Objective Description	Legal Relevance / Allegation(s) Rebutted
K-B-1	07.03.2023	File: Exhibit-K-B-1 07.03.2023 Applicant and Erkki Pasanen 01.mp3	• Perjury in W22/53025
K-B-2	07.03.2023	File: Exhibit-K-B-2 07.03.2023 Applicant and Erkki Pasanen 02.mp3	• Perjury in W22/53025
K-B-3	20.03.2023	File: Exhibit-K-B-3 20.03.2023 Applicant abuse re landlord audio.pdf	• Perjury in W22/53025
K-B-4	07.07.2023	• EXCULPATORY • July 7th 2023 Email from Applicant to Dublin Police (Garda) positioning herself as a human-rights advocate on Appellant's behalf. • Appended Exhibits: K-B-1-A thru K-B-1-D – a cross section of pleas and requests for assistance. • File: • Exhibit K-B-1 07.7.2023 Acting The Victim Online to Scam Money Out of Third-party Outreach (Gmail to Dublin Police) Anna re-victim Gmail - Concern for human rights.pdf	• Evidences and Establishes Applicant's prior endorsement of Appellant's narrative as a genuine victim of human rights abuses. • Evidences direct contradiction of the Applicant's later sworn statement that Respondent was "<i>begging for money... by presenting himself as a victim.</i>" • Evidences Purposeful Intent in the subsequent contradictory statements.
K-B-5	13.08.2023	Promissory note re: Norwegian Loan File: Exhibit-K-B-5 13.08.2023 Promissory note.pdf	• Evidences false reporting to authorities and defamation.
K-B-6	24.10. thru	• 24 th of October 2023 Email chain documenting coerced apology, abuse, and subsequent retraction.	• Evidences manipulation of PTSD by trained psychologist with expert knowledge of Appellant's triggers.

	15.12.2023	• Timeline sequence: • 24.10.2023: Original withdrawal of power of attorney citing being told childhood abuser "should get to define my life" • 24.10.2023: Forced apology/retraction after <i>"long discussion with Anna Vuorivirta"</i> • 15.12.2023 01:09: First retraction <i>"written under duress and coercive control"</i> • <i>"I was then coerced into writing a new 30 page document... Whilst being constantly told I was not told the truth."</i> • <i>"And I was forced to write an apology for a true statement."</i> • 15.12.2023 01:42: Explanation of coercion - forced to write apology for true statement, coerced into writing 30-page document, constantly told not believed, interference with legal cases • 15.12.2023 01:45: Final statement "previous apology was written under duress" • File: Exhibit-K-B-2 24.10.2023 thru 15.12.2023 Re_ Retraction and Apology-002 2.pdf	• Evidences coercion and financial domestic violence • Evidences classic coercive control abuse patterns: help offered → overruling decisions → psychological manipulation → not being believed → forced apology → immediate retraction when pressure removed.
K-B-7	03.12.2023	• 3rd of December 2023 Email from Applicant to Genelec, Finnish audio company, positioning herself as a human rights advocate and detailing the Appellant history of police abuse to request support in replacing the Appellant destroyed studio equipment. • File: Exhibit-K-B-3 03.12.2023 Anna Vuorivirta Genelec Human Rights Email Gmail - Yhteydenotto -Genelec.PDF	• Directly refutes the Applicant and her counsel's later sworn statement that the Appellant was <i>"begging for money... by presenting himself as a victim."</i> • Establishes the Applicant's prior knowledge and support of the Appellant grounded in FACT narrative as a genuine victim of human rights abuses • Evidences Applicant and her counsel's later statements to the court were knowingly and maliciously false. • Evidences Defamation and Purposeful Intent • Evidences a situation of abuse, and the

			Appellant's <u>self-defence.</u>
K-B-8	13.12.2023 - 14.12.2023	• A cross section of the conflicting, and destabilising messages the Applicant sent Appellant coming to Christmas 2024 – included physical post as depicted. • “Hot–Cold” Abuse Excerpts • <i>“I have accepted that I invested the almost 10000 e in your war and it did not help.”</i> - entirely not the factual run of events. I refer to Exhibit-K-B-45 12.10.2024 - Pg 18L677 Financial Domestic Violence and Fraud page 18, line 677 – 858, a detailed explanation of Financial matters between the Applicant and Appellant. File: Exhibit-K-B-4 13.12.2023–14.12.2023 xmas psychological abuse.pdf	• Evidences letter described in The Pattern of Coercive Control and Legal Obstruction as a form of Abuse • Evidences performative construction • <i>“This Christmas is not ideal. This Christmas was supposed to be our first together. I still hope it could be. I would want nothing more than having you with me & us relaxing and wishing & dreaming for a better year! Love you more than words!”</i> • The Applicants <i>“This is a very long letter and it is not a break-up letter, it is a boundary letter. Of course I am concerned that it probably leads to you leaving me. I need to accept that. And tell myself, that I also did my best.</i>
K-B-9	16.12.2023 - 17.12.2023	• 16th of December 2023 Email exchange initiated by Appellant regarding termination of insurance, leading to a provocative response from the Applicant and subsequent distressed replies from the Appellant. • The Appellant reads exhausted and despairing and trying to escape File: Exhibit-K-B-5 17.12.2023 Appellant continues to try and escape Re_ termination of insurance 2.pdf	• Evidences Applicant's contradictory behaviour; she denies the eviction, offers to return keys, and proposes financial deals, actions inconsistent with a fearful victim. And which are evidenced as being contradictory. • Evidences Applicant's use of psychologically manipulative language: <i>pure and strong love,” “They just think you went mad”</i>), suggesting psychological manipulation and psychological abuse (gaslighting rather than fear. • Evidences that the Appellant's distressed emails were a not just a response to the coercive control abuse they were suffering, but a direct reaction to the Applicant's provocative message, reframing them as a response to abuse rather than unsolicited harassment. • Evidences the Appellant having reached a place of desperation where they will do anything to escape the Applicant, but find themselves trapped and completely over-ruled in a clear display of psychological

			manipulation. • Evidences the Appellant's immediate interpretation of the Applicant's email as an admission of wrongdoing ("<i>You just admitted you sabotaged my legal affairs</i>").
K-B-10	18.01.2024	• Long audio recording with Detective Joonas Rönnerberg where he admits Applicant lied about financial matters and repeatedly tells Appellant to file police complaints. • File: Exhibit-K-B-6 18.01.2024 Pasila Detective admits AV lied to him and pleads with Appellant to file police complaint .m4a	• Evidences false statements and false reporting to authorities. • Evidences police negligence, discrimination and misconduct.
K-B-11	21.01.2024	• Email from the Appellant to the Applicant regarding the Appellant's urgent thumb surgery and requesting a cessation of hostilities so the Appellant can get surgery, any possible following surgeries and recover. • A violent assault shattered the Appellant's right thumb and necessitated serious emergency surgery to reattach it. • File: Exhibit-K-B-7 21.01.2024 Gmail - Re_Surgery Delay and schedule for return of items.PDF	• Evidences the Appellant's attempt to de-escalate conflict to facilitate critical medical care. • Applicant's disregard and interference with the Appellant's critical medical care, refuting claims of compassion. Establishes a pattern of cruelty and aggravated harm. • the Appellant was forced to cancel the surgery. • The cancellation call is documented by phone recording.
K-B-12	26.01.2024	• Phone Call Recording of the Appellant's scheduled intake with a Domestic Violence Unit. • The Appellant gives a detailed oral history of prior abuse, institutional issues (legal aid ban), and severe psychological abuse by the Applicant. • File: Exhibit-K-B-8 26.01.2024 Domestic Violence Unit Maria Private.m4a	• Documents the Appellant's proactive help-seeking for abuse prior to the 12.02.2024 police raid. • Corroborates the Appellant's status as a victim. • Part of a series of Domestic Abuse Support organisation calls where the Appellant informed the Appellant's situation resembles sex trafficking.
K-B-13	29.01.2024	• Email from Respondent to Applicant titled "<i>Re: I don't know what you want to stop</i>"	• Evidences a detailed, contemporaneous rebuttal to Applicant's claims, accusing her of

		<i>obstructing legal cases."</i> File: Exhibit-K-B-9 29.01.2024 Anna Vuorivirta - obstruction.pdf	lying, obstruction, and manipulation. • Evidences the Appellant's view that Applicant's actions constitute financial and psychological abuse, and a deliberate attempt to cause a crisis. • Evidences the Appellant's intention to file police complaints as advised by authorities, refuting any narrative of unsolicited aggression. • Evidences clarity on the issue of mediation, the Appellant's stipulations for safety and the Applicants refusals, abuse of that, and fraud. • Evidences a clear intent on the Appellant's part to resolve matters through official channels due to the breakdown of trust.
K-B-14	25.01.2024 thru 30.01.2024	• Email exchange between the Applicant and Sherry Fitzgerald the property management company of the Appellant's property in Ireland. • In unrelated response to a query about unpaid service charges on the Appellant's property, the Applicant falsely claims she must block the Appellant's messages <i>"to protect myself from emotional abuse."</i> • The email was forwarded to the Appellant by the Property Management Company's legal department. • File: Exhibit-K-B-10 30.01.2024 RE_ Power of attorney - 38 Millers Hall.pdf	• Evidences defamation, Purposeful Intent. • Evidences the Applicant making defamatory statements to a third-party business, creating external legal and financial problems and damage that the Appellant was then forced to address. • A completely unnecessary email and defamation to make Evidences the Applicant intent to cause as much damage to the Appellant and the Appellant's affairs as possible. • This pattern of the Applicant initiating damaging actions directly contradicts her narrative of being a passive victim of harassment. • Evidences Applicant's weaponisation of her professional status ("Masts of Psychology and contacts within authorities") to lend credibility to false claims. Evidenced again in Exhibit R25888-K16 22.072025 – the letter the Appellant's psychiatrist was forced to send police.
K-B-15	07.02.2024	• Incomplete selection of SMS from Appellant requesting and begging for their	• Evidences purpose driven contact,

	onward	belongings File: Exhibit-K-B-11 07.02.2024 onward incomplete record of Appellant requesting Return belongings.pdf	contradicts harassment claims.
K-B-16	08.02.2024	- Follow-up email from Appellant to Applicant reiterating 26 specific demands for return of personal documents, property, and information obtained during limited power of attorney period. - Explicit withdrawal notice of powers of attorney. - Documents ongoing refusal to return belongings and legal materials. - References pattern of control extending from October 2023. - Notice sent to police and legal representatives of both parties. File: Exhibit-K-B-12 08.02.2024 Re_Psychologist Anna Vuorivirta - follow-up repeat request re_ coercive control.pdf	- Evidences temporal pattern of coercive control through withholding essential documents and property. - Evidences explicit withdrawal of powers of attorney by February 2024. - Evidences interference with legal proceedings through retention of case materials. - Evidences control mechanisms through phone contract retention and access to communications. - Evidences pattern of non-response to repeated requests spanning months. - Evidences involvement of police and legal representatives as witnesses to continued obstruction. - Evidences contemporaneous notice to multiple institutional parties. - Directly supports claims of coercive control, unlawful retention of property post-termination of authority, and obstruction of legal proceedings. - Evidences methodical, purpose driven communication focused on getting the Appellant as far away from the Applicant as possible. - Contradicts any claim by Applicant that contact was unwanted harassment rather than necessary attempts to recover property and terminate unwanted involvement in Appellant's affairs.
K-B-17	10.02.2024	EXCULPATORY SMS Exchange between Applicant and myself.	Phoned a malicious wellness check in two days after an an SMS they alleged was a

	• Directly recedes 12.02.2024 Police Raid. • 12.02.2024 Police raid directly triggered by an SMS sent on February 10th, 2024 with false and misrepresentative claims the Applicant was “<i>only my landlord</i>” and that “<i>the Appellant had threatened her</i>”. • SMS thread contains no threat to landlord. • SMS thread evidences exhaustion from: ◦ being unable to get assistance from police and/or retrieve belongings, leading to pleading with the Applicant. ◦ Attempts to secure retraction of false statements and end to Legal Obstruction. ◦ Very clearly despair and rhetorical hyperbole aimed at myself do not constitute threats. • File: Exhibit-K-B-13 10.02.2024 SMS that led to 12.02.2024 Pasila Police Raid.pdf	critical emergency. • Evidences in SMS the Appellant can be seen desperately trying to get the Appellant's belongings back. Begging and pleading. • the Appellant tell the Applicant due to ongoing obstruction and theft that the Appellant have to file more police complaints. • Shortly after they file a false police complaint claiming an emergency for an event two days old. • Given the Applicant's documented, expert-level knowledge of the Appellant's severe PTSD and its specific triggers (as evidenced in Exhibit R25888-K19). The Applicant's decision to file a false and inflammatory report based on a two-day-old, non-threatening SMS, knowing it would likely provoke a severe and potentially life-threatening police response, constitutes an act of endangerment. This act falls within the scope of Finland's Criminal Code, specifically Rikoslaki (39/1889) Chapter 21, Section 13 (Endangerment) • There was no threat made to the Applicant. • The Applicant lied to Police, the Applicant lied to Haartman and now the Appellant's medical records are falsified. • Rather than return the Appellant's belongings and get out of the Appellant's life. The Applicant attempted to cause serious harm or injury, even death, and false imprisonment. • Evidences Applicant's report to police was false and malicious, and that the two-day delay in declaring her "emergency" call indicates a lack of genuine fear and concern. • Evidences that the emergency call on 12.02.2024 was arguably retaliatory, vindictive, and aimed to obstruct any calm
--	---	---

			and ordered resolution to matters.
K-B-18	12.02.2024	- Website chat log with Domestic Violence Support Organisation Monika File: Exhibit-K-B-14 12.02.2024 Seeking Assistance from Domestic Violence Org Monika.pdf	Evidences repeat attempts to get assistance for domestic abuse
K-B-19	13.02.2024	3am Email to the Applicant sent hours after the police raid, requesting door repair and return of property. File: Exhibit-K-B-15 13.02.2024 Anna Vuorivirta -as landlord - the police smashed the door in.pdf	- Evidences the Appellant's contact was immediate, reactive, and issue-driven (necessitated by the raid), not harassment. - Evidences Applicant's misrepresentation of the intimate relationship between her and the Appellant relationship to police and how the Appellant had to evidence said relationship with sexually explicit and intimate communications to secure release from the Appellant's false imprisonment.
K-B-20	13.02.2024	- Email from Respondent to Applicant and multiple authorities titled <i>"Request for return of items and data,"</i> sent at 21:23. File: Exhibit-K-B-16 13.02.2024 Request for return of items and data.pdf	- Evidences a comprehensive 15-point list of demands for the return of physical property, digital data, and resolution of financial matters. - Evidences demands for a retraction of all defamatory statements and an immediate halt to the <i>"fraudulent... false narrative related to my mental health."</i> - Evidences and re-enforces that contact was and is issue-driven and transactional, aimed at recovering assets and correcting the record, not personal pursuit. - Reinforces that contact is a necessary consequence and self-defence due to the Applicant's actions, including the raid and withholding of property.
K-B-21	14.02.2024	- Email from Respondent to Applicant following up on the previous day's request for property and providing an audio extract of the <i>"malicious wellness check."</i> File:	- Evidences and Reinforces that contact is issue-driven (return of property etc) and a direct consequence of the police raid - Presents evidence (audio link) to substantiate the claim that the Applicant lied

		Exhibit-K-B-17 14.02.2024 Re_ Request for return of items and data Extract from malicious wellness check.pdf • References / Interdependence: Exhibit R25888-K17-B 12.02.2024 Police raid Audio in full,	to police about her relationship status with the Appellant. • Demonstrates the Appellant's ongoing attempts to correct the official record and defend against what terms a "fraudulent" narrative
K-B-22	20.02.2024	Email from the Appellant to Applicant demanding she cease all contact with medical professionals in their name. File: Exhibit-K-B-18 20.02.2024 Re_ continued contact with medical professionals using my name.pdf	• Evidences Applicant's interference with the Appellant medical care and private health affairs. • Shows issue-driven contact focused on self-defence, safety, data protection and preventing defamation. • States Applicant is withholding information, lying to medical professionals, and causing harm.
K-B-23	07.03.2024	• Letter from Appellant to Applicant attempting to seek resolution. File: Exhibit-K-B-19 07.03.2024 Appellant attempting resolution Holding Pattern letter.pdf	• Evidences Appellant's bona fide attempt to resolve outstanding issues in March. Absence of threat or coercion in communications Legal Element(s): Non-Harassing Purpose of Contact; Objective Intent
K-B-24	07.03.2024	• Email between Applicant and Police. Applicant forwards the Appellant an email from Sr. Constable Juha Parviainen (Helsinki Police) confirming receipt of materials and referral to mediation; police note investigation will not continue during mediation. • The email was never explained. Never translated. Never mentioned. File: Exhibit-K-B-20 07.03.2024 Applicant Polissi Gmail - Fwd_ Tiedostot ja materiaa E. F. Fluff.pdf	• Evidencing the Applicant stating to Police: she has cancelled the restraining-order application, that she would not obtain a restraining order at that time, and that the Respondent has not been a danger to her. • Evidences directly fraudulent statements to the Appellant in recorded phone call where the Applicant claims to "have forgotten the restraining order was before the Court – it was all a mistake" – clear audio evidence of Purposeful Intent. • Applicant records that Psych ER saw no need for M1. •
K-B-25	09.03.2024	• Evidences Appellant attempting to get Applicant to stop their school friend Terhi from harassing the Applicant. File:	• Evidences incited harassment. Evidences purposeful intent of abuse by Applicant

		Exhibit-K-B-21 09.03.2024 Terhi 1 incited harassment 1 Anna's friend harassment me on 9th of March.pdf	
K-B-26	13.03.2024	• SMS Exchange between the Applicant and myself regarding contact with her son, Tomas. Approx 18:00 • The exchange shows the Appellant's apology for the contact and the Applicant's coaching on how to word it, including her admission of fault. • File: Exhibit-K-B-22 13.03.2024 Contradicts Applicant's false claims about her child and pressing legal issues.pdf	• Wholly refutes and invalidates any allegation that contact with the Applicant's children was a form of harassment. • The Applicant's collaborative response and admission of being the cause of the incident exchange is inconsistent with the Applications posture of a victim of harassment and defamatory allegations. • Evidences the Court is being presented carefully curated, misrepresentative evidence. • Evidences lying by omission • Evidences good faith and willingness to co-operate to reach resolutions. • Evidences prior discussion related in Revolut. Revolut is difficult to take screenshots in.
K-B-27	16.03.2024	• Email from the Appellant to the Applicant demanding retraction of false statements about the Appellant's mental health and to rectify statements to authorities refusal of mediation. • File: Exhibit-K-B-23 16.03.2024 Re_Retraction.pdf	• Evidences the Appellant's continued issue-driven contact focused on self-defence and correcting the official record, not personal engagement. • Contradicts and invalidates false statements to Court by Applicant and her counsel.
K-B-32 and K-B-33	26.03.2024	• Signal chat – Miessakit oy referenced and discussed in conversation. • Miessakit is <i>"Miessakit Association is a non-governmental expert organisation established to support the mental, psychological and social wellbeing of men. The organisation complements the existing crisis services available for men and promotes nonviolent family life. Miessakit works as a national level link for</i>	• Evidences Messages where Applicant actively works to undermine Appellant's access to support systems and safety, and in effect continue to isolate them. All classical patterns of coercive control abuse. • Evidences the Applicant labelling the abuse and violence Support Workers as untrained, and essentially incompetent and references Miessakit funding context.

		<i>men's groups with equal objectives and maintains international contacts in its field."</i> File: Exhibit-K-B-24 26.03.2024 Evidences coercive control abuse, siloing and isolation as a form of control.pdf	• Evidences the Applicant discrediting third-party assistance, and manipulating complaint procedures to sustain psychological dominance. • Evidences and Corroborates the Appellant's prior statements that domestic abuse support services and authorities had demanded and pleaded they file police complaints against the Applicant. Complaints the Applicant has falsely claimed are forms of harassment by a heartbroken ex-partner.
K-B-29	28.03.2024	• Email from Respondent to Applicant accusing her of lying and wasting time regarding KELA and other matters. File: Exhibit-K-B-25 28.03.2024 Wasting my time with lies.pdf	• Shows breakdown of trust and frustration with Applicant's contradictory statements.1 • Reinforces issue-driven nature of contact aimed at resolving financial matters.
K-B-30	31.03.2024	• Email exchange between parties regarding a loan agreement and storage unit payment. File: Exhibit-K-B-26 31.03.2024 Re_ Clarity on the loan agreement.pdf	• Documents dispute over financial matters (loan, debt forgiveness, storage payment). • Evidences ongoing transactional communication focused on financial resolution.
K-B-31	03.04.2024	• Long admission of abuse and fraud using Applicant's divorce or "total war with Yedi" as excuse. File: • Exhibit-K-B-32 and K-B-33 03.04.2024 Anna April 3rd confession of abuse and financial fraud.pdf	• Evidences contradictions to sworn statements. • Evidences false reporting to authorities • Evidences false statements to the police
K-B-32	03.04.2024	April 3rd admissions chat – specific to lies about finances File: Exhibit-K-B-29 03.04.2024 Financial Manipulation.pdf	• Evidences financial domestic violence. • Evidences fraud, loan fraud
K-B-33	09.04.2024	Signal Chat Log: <i>"Let me know if you want to meet. I want to meet whenever it is possible. I will try not to write you, if that's what you want.";</i>	1. Evidences Applicant's repeated outreach and attempts to resume communication despite explicit refusals, evidencing coercive control

		<i>Respondent's reply declining further contact in detailed, issue-driven language</i> File: Exhibit-K-B-30 09.04.2024 Anna Vuorivirta meet whenever possible.png	through persistent contact. - Appellant's message is clearly issue-focused and reactive, cancelling an impulsive proposal to try and meet to resolve issues that required mediation - Appellant affirms the impossibility of further communication — rebutting any claim of harassment motive. - Contextualises the Applicant's outreach as part of a broader pattern of emotional manipulation following revoked authority; a hot-cold-hot approach. - Shows contemporaneous record of the Appellant's refusal, reinforcing that all contact is issue-driven for resolution of issues or self-defence rather than unsolicited pursuit.
K-B-34	24.04.2024	- SMS Exchange between parties regarding rental matters – specifically related to the Applicants complete unrestricted access to the Appellant's KELA applications File: Exhibit-K-B-31 24.04.2024 Dead to me no contact Evidence refutes false statements to the Court in R24-5229.pdf	- the Appellant's messages: ("You are dead to me") prove the Appellant's intent to sever personal ties. - The Appellant has to <u>unblock</u> the Applicant. The exchange exists for the sole purpose of addressing a legal issues re: rent and tenancy with Alegria Psychology Oy. It shows direct issue driven contact, as everything has been since December 2023. - Applicant's messages ("I missed you so much fuckface") evidences Applicant was the one injecting personal content inappropriately into business matters. - Evidences fraud of the Court and false reporting to authorities.
K-B-35	10.05.2024	- Email from Respondent to Applicant repeating request for return of "the writing" and withheld correspondence. File: Exhibit-K-B-32 10.05.2024 Repeat request to return the writing.pdf	- Demonstrates persistent, issue-driven contact focused on property recovery. - Evidences and reference to Applicant admitting to abuse and fraud and other abuses in Signal chat.

			Evidence of repeat warning of being forced to whistleblow the abuse and theft and legal obstruction if it continues.
K-B-36	19.05.2024	- Tenancy letter File: Exhibit-K-B-33 19.05.2024 Tenancy issues non-harassment Re_ FIX THE DOOR Info from landlord.pdf	- Evidences purpose driven contact, and contact as tenant. - Evidence landlord negligence. - Evidences contradictions to Applicant's sworn statements
K-B-37	30.05.2024	- Email from the Appellant to the Applicant demanding return of property. File: Exhibit-K-B-34 30.05.2024 Return my belongings.pdf	- Evidence that directly contradicts claims of pursuit and desire for attention. - Explicitly states the Appellant's goal of achieving "<i>absolutely no contact</i>" once property is returned, and other matters resolved <i>"Return my belongings Anna. Stop doing this. There's no need nor right anything, just return the last of my things so there can be absolutely no contact with you no reason to contact you."</i>
K-B-38	19.06.2024	- Email from the Appellant to the Applicant making pre-court legal demands and referencing Applicant's admission of planned abuse. - References Exhibit-K-4 – email forwarded to the Appellant by Sherry Fitzgerald Property management company for the Appellant's derelict Dublin property. File: Exhibit-K-B-35 19.06.2024 Anna Re_ Pre-Court Sherry Fitzgerald Demands.pdf	- Evidences the Appellant's contact was transactional and legal in nature, focused on resolving outstanding issues created by the Applicant - Evidences defamation. Evidences demand for correction and retraction to third-party statements (Sherry Fitzgerald / Storage World). <i>"On the 3rd day of April 2024, in Signal chat, and later in telephone calls, you admitted clearly that all events from October 2023 onwards, were part of carefully calculated and planned abuse of me."</i>
K-B-39	21.06.2024	- More record of incited harassment, incited slander, incited assault. File: Exhibit-K-B-36 21.06.2024 Terhi 2 Incited Harassment Anna Friend Terhi.m4a	- Evidences incited harassment, slander, and assault. - Evidences and Contradicts Applicants narrative and sworn statements
K-B-40	22.06	EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE:	Exculpatory evidence proving the

	.2024	WhatsApp Chat Logs between the Appellant and a new romantic partner, "Nina" and Respondent. File: Exhibit-K-B-37 22.06.2024 WhatsApp Evidence of 2024 relationships refutes false statements to the Court in R24-5229.pdf	Appellant was actively engaged in a new intimate relationship, invalidating Applicant's core claim that the Appellant contact was motivated by an inability to accept between the Applicant and Appellant breakup. - Evidences DARVO (Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender) form of abuse and deceit of the Court - Evidences a new romantic relationship prior to the R24-5229 filing for restraining order. - Evidences a complete invalidation of the Applicant and Counsels claims in both R24-5229, W2441272, and R25/888. Where in W24/4127 Hanna Riivari directly links matters to do with 'Contract Dispute and Eviction' with R24-5229 stating the Appellant's response to the W24/41272 is an extension of the harassment and inability to get over the end of the relationship. - Evidences an alternate narrative where the Applicant is jealous, unable to get over the break-up and has embarked on a destructive campaign of abuse and fraud of the Appellant.
K-B-41	22.07.2024	Original statement to Court In R24/5229 File: Exhibit-K-B-38 22.07.2024 EF Fluff initial response re- obligation to be present.pdf	Evidences procedural errors
K-B-42	25.07.2024	Call Recording of the Appellant contacting Miessakit ry (men's support centre) to request a formal letter confirming the Appellant's attendance. File: Exhibit-K-B-39 25.07.2024 Miessakit Ry Counselling Court Letter Request (+35896126620).m4a	Corroborates the Appellant's consistent pattern of seeking support for domestic abuse, reinforcing the evidence in K-B-2.
K-B-43	27.07.2024	Banned from legal aid File: Exhibit-K-B-40 Finland_ Helsinki Legal Aid_ Banned from legal aid due to Jussi Lyrä.	Evidences a constitutional crisis.

		[Without introduction]	
K-B-44	27.07.2024	Tenancy contact File: Exhibit-K-B-41 27.07.2024 Anna Vuorivirta July Gmail - Re Landlord issues.pdf	Evidences purpose driven contact, absence of harassment.
K-B-45	31.07.2024	Further incited harassment, this time Janne Screenshots from SMS re: Incited Harassment from acquaintance or friend of Applicant – Janne File: Exhibit-K-B-42 31.07.2024 onward Incited Harassment Janne2.jpg	Evidences slander, defamation, incited harassment, menace.
K-B-46	04.08.2024	• Email from Respondent to Applicant stating her <i>"lying has cost me access to my doctor"</i> and medication. • File: Exhibit-K-B-43 04.08.2024 Awareness Medication Anna.pdf Interdependence again with W24/41272	• Evidences direct links Applicant's actions to tangible harm (loss of medical care). • Evidences the pattern of Applicant's purposeful interference with Appellant's health and well-being.
K-B-47	05.10.2024	File: Exhibit-K-B-44 05.10.2024 Then DEAN Of Brandeis University and now CUNY University Provost Prof Joel support letter email.pdf	• Evidences contradictions in sworn statements In R24/5229 and everywhere else.
K-B-48	10.10.2024	PDF of police complaints. Incomplete. File: Exhibit-K-B-45 10.10.2024 Police complaints by Appellant up to October 2024.pdf	• Evidences contradictions in sworn statements In R24/5229 and everywhere else.
K-B-49	12.10.2024	• Document prepared for the R24 hearing and submitted but refused by the Court. • File: Exhibit-K-B-46 12.10.2024 - Pg 18L677 Financial Domestic Violence and Fraud.pdf	• Evidenced on Page 18 line 677 to 858 detail of financial agreements and contracts between Applicant and Appellant. • Evidences direct contradiction by the Applicant in all statements relating to financial matters.
K-B-50	20.10.2024	Grounding 1 st Bar Complaint against Hanna Riivari. More will be coming. File: Exhibit-K-B-47 20.10.2024 Hanna Riivari	• Evidences contradictions in sworn statements In R24/5229 and everywhere else.

		complaint bar.pdf	
K-B-51	25.12.2024 - 01.01.2025	• Photographs: Appellant with partner Photo captions: 1-2 25.12.2024 – “Our first Christmas and Melissa’s first Christmas ever” 2. 01.01.2025 – “New Year’s Day” 3. 31.12.2024 – “Fireworks on New Year’s Eve” 5. 12.05.2025 - “Swimming by the old asylum 6. 12.05.2025 – “Swimming by the old asylum; proof of my presence” • Digital photographs depicting the Appellant and partner in various personal settings immediately prior to the collapse of of their relationship and looming engagement due to chronic stress induced suicide attempt and near-fatal overdose due to the debilitating stress of the proceedings, the Applicant’s actions, and the ongoing secondary victimisation and dehumanisation of the Appellant by the Helsinki District Court. File: Exhibit-K-B-48 25.12.2024 Further proof of a committed relationship destroyed by the proceedings and Applicant.pdf	• Evidences a visual record of the Appellant’s intimate relationship before procedural abuse caused its breakdown. Contrary to defamatory false claims by the Applicant and her counsel. • Evidences that factual reality is contrary to the accusation by Helsinki District Court Judge Mirko Pennanen that matters involving the Applicant and Appellant were “<u>Expensive Couples’ Therapy</u>” • Evidences the severe and lasting personal, psychological, and emotional harm resulting from the Applicant’s actions and secondary victimisation and procedural by judicial process and the Courts that arguably now meets the bar for Institutional Torture. • Evidences the Appellant’s claim for infringement of right to privacy and family life (Perustuslaki 10 §; ECHR Art 8). • Establishes context for non-pecuniary remedies under Civil Liability Act (758/1978) s 1.
K-B-52	29.12.2024	More incited harassment evidence File: Exhibit-K-B-49 29.12.2024 Terhi 3 Incited Harassment 3 Anna’s friend Terhi again at the bar unprovoked.m4a	• Evidences incited harassment, slander, and assault. • Evidences and Contradicts Applicants narrative and sworn statements
K-B-53	21.01.2025	• Email correspondence with Kate Amber, coercive control expert: “<i>Re: Query related to Helsinki Police - records and invoice verification required</i>” • Email thread between E.F. Fluff and Kate Amber MSc, Founder, End Coercive Control USA. Ms Amber confirms identity and attaches her current CV (“<i>I have attached</i>	• Professional recognition of coercive-control dynamics (Kate Amber MSc, developer of The Quicksand Model™). • Evidences contemporaneous expert consultation during the alleged harassment (legitimate help-seeking). • Evidences institutional bias: Police dismiss expert materials as “speculative and

		<i>my current CV to this email. Perhaps this will help.”)</i> • This exchange was a follow-up to the consultation as the Appellant was struggling to secure the full consultation fee due to points of negligence and secondary victimisation by Pasila Police and other authorities. File: Exhibit-K-B-50 21.01.2025 Kate Amber Coercive Control Expert Re_ Query related to Helsinki Police - records and invoice verification required.pdf	debatable” despite verifiable credentials. • Evidences systematic obstruction of access to support via the Applicant’s networks and interference with new relationships/social ties. • Evidences escalating harassment by the Applicant’s associates (including physical/public humiliation), contradicting the Applicant’s victim narrative.
K-B-54	04.05.2025	More incited harassment File: Exhibit-K-B-51 04.05.2025 TERHI 5 INCITED HARASSMENT ACTUAL VIDEO.mp4	• Evidences incited harassment, slander, and assault. • Evidences and Contradicts Applicants narrative and sworn statements
K-B-55	03.07.2025	Audio recording Appellant attempting to get help at Pasila File: Exhibit-K-B-52 03.07.2025 - Helsinki - Finland - Pasila Police Station 03.07.2025 - Helsinki Police in breach of EU Law.	• Evidences police negligence and misconduct. • Evidences breaches of TFEU Article 82
K-B-56	2018-2025	Cross-section sample of Fundraising from 2018 onwards to 2025 File: Exhibit-K-B-4-57 July August 2025 4Fund Fundraiser simplified vers Fundraiser_ HELP DISABLED ARTIST BRING CORRUPT COPS TO JUSTICE _ E Fluff.pdf	• Evidences false statements by the Applicant
K-B-57	15.02.2024	File: TODISTEET MFR-R25888-K3 Melissa Drockila 15 February 2024 Gmail - Restraining Order Request	• Evidences the Applicant requesting assistance with a restraining order, previously supplied with motion for assistance.
K-B-58	2024	Unorthodox response to Judge Pennanen, written to the style of a Brecht Three Penny Opera. File: Exhibit-K-B-58 30.08.2025 W24 41272 EF	• Evidences the Appellant’s extreme grief

		Fluff 300825 Judge Mirko response two sheep copy	
--	--	---	--

1776